arXiv:1510.07340v1 [math.CV] 26 Oct 2015

THE COMPLEX GEOMETRY OF TEICHMÜLLER SPACES AND BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAINS

STERGIOS ANTONAKOUDIS *

1. Introduction

We study isometric maps between Teichmüller spaces $\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{3g-3+n}$ and bounded symmetric domains $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ in their intrinsic Kobayashi metric. From a complex analytic perspective, these two important classes of geometric spaces have several features in common but also exhibit many differences. The focus here is on recent results proved by the author; we give a list of open questions at the end.

In a nutshell, we will see that Teichmüller spaces equipped with their intrinsic Kobayashi metric exhibit a remarkable rigidity property reminiscent of rank one bounded symmetric domains - in particular, we will show that isometric disks are Teichmüller disks. However, we will see that Teichmüller spaces and bounded symmetric domains *do not mix* isometrically so long as both have dimension two or more.

The proofs of these results, although technically different, use the common theme of *complexification* and *realification*; they also involve ideas from geometric topology.

2. The setting



Figure 1. Universal covering $\pi : \Delta \to X = \Delta/\Gamma$

Let X be a hyperbolic Riemann surface of finite type homeomorphic to a fixed oriented topological surface $\Sigma_{g,n}$ of genus g with n punctures. More concretely, we can present X as a quotient space $X = \Delta/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$ is discrete group of automorphisms of the unit disk $\Delta \cong \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$ and $\pi : \Delta \to X = \Delta/\Gamma$ is the universal covering map.

* Presented to the 6th Ahlfors-Bers Colloquium at Yale, New Haven CT, 23-26 October 2014.

The unit disk Δ is equipped with a metric $|dz|/(1-|z|^2)$ of constant curvature, known as the Poincaré metric, which we shall denote by $\mathbb{CH}^1$ and refer to as the complex hyperbolic line. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$ can be identified with the group $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{CH}^1)$ of orientation preserving isometries of $\mathbb{CH}^1$, hence we can endow $X = \Delta/\Gamma$ with a finite-volume metric of constant curvature.

The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ parametrizing isomorphism classes of Riemann surfaces X has a similar description. It is a complex quasi-projective variety which we can present as the quotient $\mathcal{M}_{g,n} = \mathcal{T}_{g,n}/\mathrm{Mod}_{g,n}$, where $\mathrm{Mod}_{g,n} \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{g,n})$ is a discrete group of automorphisms of a contractible bounded domain $\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{3g-3+n}$.

Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ which parametrizes isomorphism classes of marked Riemann surfaces is, therefore, the orbifold universal cover of the moduli space of curves $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ and it is naturally a complex manifold of dimension $3g-3+n$. It is equipped with a complete intrinsic metric - the Teichmüller metric - which endows $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ with the structure of a finite-volume complex orbifold. It is known that Teichmüller space can be realized as a bounded domain $\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{3g-3+n}$ by the Bers embeddings. [Bers]

Classically, another class of complex spaces admitting a similar description is that of locally symmetric varieties $\mathcal{V}$ (of non-compact type), which we can present as the quotient $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{B}/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$ is a lattice, a discrete group of automorphisms of a bounded symmetric domain $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$.

Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded domain; we call $\mathcal{B}$ a bounded symmetric domain if every point $p \in \mathcal{B}$ is an *isolated* fixed point of a holomorphic involution $\sigma_p : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$, with $\sigma_p^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Bounded symmetric domains are contractible and homogeneous as complex manifolds. The simplest example is given by the unit disk $\Delta \cong \mathbb{CH}^1$, which is in fact the unique (up to isomorphism) contractible bounded domain of complex dimension one. It is classically known that all Hermitian symmetric spaces of non-compact type can be realized as bounded symmetric domains $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ by the Harish-Chandra embeddings. [Hel]

A feature that Teichmüller spaces and bounded symmetric domains have in common is that they contain holomorphic isometric copies of $\mathbb{CH}^1$ through every point and complex direction; in particular, in complex dimension one, Teichmüller spaces and bounded symmetric domains coincide. However, in higher dimensions, the situation is quite different. H. L. Royden proved that, when $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \geq 2$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{g,n})$ is discrete and therefore $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is not a symmetric space. [Roy] Central to Royden's work was the use of the intrinsic Kobayashi metric of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$.

3. The Kobayashi metric

Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a bounded domain, its intrinsic Kobayashi metric is the *largest* complex Finsler metric such that every holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{CH}^1 \to \mathcal{B}$ is non-expanding: $||f'(0)||_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1$. It determines both a family of norms $||\cdot||_{\mathcal{B}}$ on the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{B}$ and a distance $d_{\mathcal{B}}(\cdot,\cdot)$ on pairs of points. [Ko]

We recall that Schwarz lemma shows that every holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{CH}^1 \to \mathbb{CH}^1$ is non-expanding. The Kobayashi metric provides a natural generalisation - it has the fundamental property that every holomorphic map between complex domains is non-expanding and, in particular, every holomorphic automorphism is an isometry. The Kobayashi metric of complex domain depends only on its structure as a complex manifold.

Examples.
1. $\mathbb{CH}^1$ realises the unit disk Δ with its Kobayashi metric. The Kobayashi metric on the unit ball $\mathbb{CH}^2 \cong \{ (z, w) \mid |z|^2 + |w|^2 < 1 \} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ coincides with its unique (complete) invariant Kaëhler metric of constant holomorphic curvature -4.
2. The Kobayashi metric on the bi-disk $\mathbb{CH}^1 \times \mathbb{CH}^1$ coincides with the sup-metric of the two factors. It is a complex Finsler metric; it is not a Hermitian metric.
3. The Kobayashi metric on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ coincides with the classical Teichmüller metric, which endows $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ with the structure of a complete geodesic metric space.

Incidentally, examples 1 and 2 above describe all bounded symmetric domains up to isomorphism in complex dimensions one and two. We will discuss example 3 in more detail below.

4. Main results

An important feature of the Kobayashi metric of Teichmüller space is that every holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ such that df is an isometry on tangent spaces is *totally geodesic*: it sends real geodesics to real geodesics preserving their length. Moreover, there are such holomorphic isometries, known as Teichmüller disks, through every point in every complex direction.

Holomorphic rigidity. Our first result is the following: [1]

Theorem 4.1. *Every totally geodesic isometry $f : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ for the Kobayashi metric is either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic. In particular, it is a Teichmüller disk.*

This result is classically known for bounded symmetric domains with rank one and, more generally, for *strictly* convex bounded domains. However, it is not true for bounded symmetric domains with rank two or more. Our proof of Theorem 4.1 recovers these classical results along with Teichmüller spaces by providing a more geometric approach.

Theorem 4.1 shows that the intrinsic Teichmüller-Kobayashi metric of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ determines its natural structure as a complex manifold.

The following corollary follows easily from the theorem above.

Corollary 4.2. *Every totally geodesic isometry $f : \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{h,m}$ is either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic.*

[1] Theorem 4.1 solves problem 5.3 from [FM].

We note that, indeed, there are many holomorphic isometries $f : \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{h,m}$ between Teichmüller spaces $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$,$\mathcal{T}_{h,m}$ in their Kobayashi metric, induced by pulling back complex structures from a fixed topological covering map $\psi : \Sigma_{h,m} \to \Sigma_{g,n}$ of the underlying topological surfaces $\Sigma_{g,n}$,$\Sigma_{h,m}$. [Kra1]

Symmetric spaces vs Teichmüller spaces. Like Teichmüller spaces there are also many holomorphic isometries $f : \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ between bounded symmetric domains $\mathcal{B}, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ in their Kobayashi metric. [Hel] However, in dimension two or more, Teichmüller spaces and bounded symmetric domains *do not mix* isometrically.

More precisely, we prove:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a bounded symmetric domain and $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ be a Teichmüller space with $dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{B}, dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \geq 2$. There are no holomorphic isometric immersions*

$$\mathcal{B} \overset{f}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \quad or \quad \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \overset{f}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{B}$$

such that df is an isometry for the Kobayashi norms on tangent spaces.

We record the following special case.

Theorem 4.4. *There is no holomorphic isometry $f : \mathbb{CH}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ for the Kobayashi metric.*

We also have a similar result for submersions:

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ be as in Theorem 4.3. There are no holomorphic isometric submersions*

$$\mathcal{B} \overset{g}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \quad or \quad \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \overset{g}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathcal{B}$$

such that dg^* is an isometry for the dual Kobayashi norms on cotangent spaces.

Remarks.

1. The existence of isometrically immersed curves, known as Teichmüller curves, in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ has far-reaching applications in the dynamics of billiards in rational polygons. [V], [Mc1] The following immediate Corollary of Theorem 4.3 shows that there are no higher dimensional, locally symmetric, analogues of Teichmüller curves.

Corollary 4.6. *There is no locally symmetric variety $\mathcal{V}$ isometrically immersed in the moduli space of curves $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, nor is there an isometric copy of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ in $\mathcal{V}$, for the Kobayashi metrics, so long as both have dimension two or more.*

2. Torelli maps, associating to a marked Riemann surface the Jacobians of its finite covers, give rise to holomorphic maps $\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \overset{\tau}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{H}_h$ into bounded symmetric domains (Siegel spaces). It is known that these maps are isometric for the Kobayashi metric in some directions[Kra2], but strictly contracting in most directions. [Mc2]

3. It is known that there are holomorphic isometric submersions $\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \overset{g}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathbb{CH}^1$,

which are of the form $g = \rho \circ \tau$, where τ is the Torelli map $\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{H}_g$ to the Siegel upper-half space and $\mathcal{H}_g \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{CH}^1$ is a holomorphic isometric submersion.

For further details and proofs, we refer to [SMA1],[SMA2],[SMA3]. In this paper, we focus on explaining the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.4 using the common theme of *complexification* and *realification*. We start with some preliminaries on Teichmüller spaces and their complex and real geodesics in the intrinsic Teichmüller-Kobayashi metric.

5. Preliminaries in Teichmüller theory

Teichmüller space. [GL], [Hub] Let $\Sigma_{g,n}$ be a connected, oriented surface of genus g and n punctures and $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ denote the Teichmüller space of Riemann surfaces marked by $\Sigma_{g,n}$. A point in $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is specified by an orientation preserving homeorphism $\phi : \Sigma_{g,n} \to X$ to a Riemann surface of finite type, up to a natural equivalence relation[2].

Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is naturally a complex manifold of dimension $3g-3+n$ and forgetting the marking realises $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ as the complex orbifold universal cover of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. When it is clear from the context we often denote a point specified by $\phi : \Sigma_{g,n} \to X$ simply by X.

For each $X \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, we let $Q(X)$ denote the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials $q = q(z)(dz)^2$ on X with finite total mass: $||q||_1 = \int_X |q(z)||dz|^2 < +\infty$, which means that q has at worse simple poles at the punctures of X.

The tangent and cotangent spaces to Teichmüller space at $X \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ are described in terms of the natural pairing $(q, \mu) \mapsto \int_X q\mu$ between the space $Q(X)$ and the space $M(X)$ of L^∞-measurable Beltrami differentials on X; in particular, the tangent $T_X\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and cotangent $T^*_X\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ spaces are naturally isomorphic to $M(X)/Q(X)^\perp$ and $Q(X)$, respectively.

The Teichmüller-Kobayashi metric on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is given by norm duality on the tangent space $T_X\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ from the norm $||q||_1 = \int_X |q|$ on the cotangent space $Q(X)$ at X. The corresponding distance function is given by the formula $d_{\mathcal{T}_{g,n}}(X,Y) = \inf \frac{1}{2} \log K(\phi)$ and measures the minimal dilatation $K(\phi)$ of a quasiconformal map $\phi : X \to Y$ respecting their markings.

Measured foliations. Let $\mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$ denote the space of equivalent classes[3] of nonzero (singular) measured foliations on $\Sigma_{g,n}$. It is known that $\mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$ has the structure of a *piecewise linear* manifold, which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{6g-6+2n} \setminus \{0\}$. [FLP]

The geometric intersection number of a pair of measured foliations $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$, denoted by $i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$, induces a continuous map $i(\cdot,\cdot) : \mathcal{MF}_{g,n} \times \mathcal{MF}_{g,n} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, which extends the geometric intersection pairing on the space of (isotopy classes of) simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g,n}$. [Bon]

[2]Two marked Riemann surfaces $\phi : \Sigma_{g,n} \to X$, $\psi : \Sigma_{g,n} \to Y$ are equivalent if $\psi \circ \phi^{-1} : X \to Y$ is isotopic to a holomorphic bijection.

[3]Two measured foliations $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ are equivalent $\mathcal{F} \sim \mathcal{G}$ if they differ by a finite sequence of Whitehead moves followed by an isotopy of $\Sigma_{g,n}$, preserving their transverse measures.

Given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$ and $X \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, we let $\lambda(\mathcal{F}, X)$ denote the *extremal length* of $\mathcal{F}$ on the Riemann surface X given by the formula $\lambda(\mathcal{F}, X) = \sup \frac{\ell_\rho(\mathcal{F})^2}{\text{area}(\rho)}$, where $\ell_\rho(\mathcal{F})$ denotes the ρ-length of $\mathcal{F}$ and the supremum is over all (Borel-measurable) conformal metrics ρ of finite area on X.

Each nonzero quadratic differential $q \in Q(X)$ induces a conformal metric $|q|$ on X, which is non-singular of zero curvature away from the zeros of q, and a measured foliation $\mathcal{F}(q)$ tangent to vectors $v = v(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ with $q(v) = q(z)(v(z))^2 < 0$. The transverse measure of the foliation $\mathcal{F}(q)$ is (locally) given by integrating $|\text{Re}(\sqrt{q})|$ along arcs transverse to its leaves.

We refer to $\mathcal{F}(q)$ as the vertical measured foliation induced from (X, q). In local coordinates, where $q = dz^2$ (such coordinates exist away from the zeros of q), the metric $|q|$ coincides with the Euclidean metric $|dz|$ in the plane and the measured foliation $\mathcal{F}(q)$ has leaves given by vertical lines and transverse measure by the total horizontal variation $|\text{Re}(dz)|$. We note that the measured foliation $\mathcal{F}(-q)$ has (horizontal) leaves orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}(q)$ and the product of their transverse measures is just the area form of the conformal metric $|q|$ induced from q.

When it is clear from the context we often identify the measured foliation $\mathcal{F}(q)$ with its equivalence class in $\mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$. The following fundamental theorem relates quadratic differentials and measured foliations on fixed Riemann surface.

Theorem 5.1. *([HM];Hubbard-Masur) Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$; the map $q \mapsto \mathcal{F}(q)$ induces a homeomorphism $Q(X) \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$. Moreover, $|q|$ is the unique extremal metric for $\mathcal{F}(q)$ on X and its extremal length is given by the formula $\lambda(\mathcal{F}, X) = ||q||_1$.*

Complex geodesics. We denote by $Q\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \cong T^*\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ the complex vector-bundle of holomorphic quadratic differentials over $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and by $Q_1\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ the associated sphere-bundle of quadratic differentials with unit mass. There is a natural norm-preserving action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ on $Q\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, with the diagonal matrices giving the (co-)geodesic flow.

For each $(X, q) \in Q_1\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, the orbit $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot (X, q) \subset Q_1\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ induces a holomorphic totally geodesic isometry

$$\mathbb{CH}^1 \cong \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$$

which we refer to as the *Teichmüller disk* generated by (X, q).

Real geodesics. Let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ be a Teichmüller geodesic ray with unit speed, which has a unique lift $\widetilde{\gamma}(t) = (X_t, q_t) \in Q_1\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ such that $\gamma(t) = X_t$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}(t) = \text{diag}(e^t, e^{-t}) \cdot (X_0, q_0)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

The map $q \mapsto (\mathcal{F}(q), \mathcal{F}(-q))$ gives an embedding

$$Q\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{MF}_{g,n} \times \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$$

which satisfies $||q||_1 = i(\mathcal{F}(q), \mathcal{F}(-q))$ and sends the lift $\widetilde{\gamma}(t) = (X_t, q_t)$ of the Teichmüller geodesic ray γ to a path of the form $(e^t\mathcal{F}(q), e^{-t}\mathcal{F}(-q))$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and let $q \in Q(X)$ generate a real Teichmüller geodesic γ with $\gamma(0) = X$. The geodesic ray γ extends uniquely to a holomorphic totally geodesic isometry $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}} : \Delta \cong \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ satisfying $\gamma(t) = \gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(\tanh(t))$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$; the Teichmüller geodesic generated by the quadratic differential $e^{i\theta}q \in Q(X)$, with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, is given by the map $t \mapsto \gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(e^{-i\theta}\tanh(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

6. Holomorphic rigidity

In this section we prove:

Theorem 6.1. *Every totally geodesic isometry $f : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ for the Kobayashi metric is either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic. In particular, it is a Teichmüller disk.*

The proof of the theorem uses the idea of *complexification* and leverages the following two facts. Firstly, a complete real geodesic in $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is contained in a unique holomorphic Teichmüller disk; and secondly, a holomorphic family $\{f_t\}_{t\in\Delta}$ of *essentially proper* holomorphic maps $f_t : \mathbb{CH}^1 \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is *trivial*: $f_t = f_0$ for $t \in \Delta$ (Sullivan's rigidity theorem, see [Tan] for a precise statement and proof).

Outline of the proof. Let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{CH}^1$ be a complete real geodesic and denote by $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$ its *maximal* holomorphic extension to the bi-disk. We note that $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{CH}^1$ and we define $F|_{\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}}$ to be the *unique* holomorphic extension of $f|_\gamma$, which is a Teichmüller disk.

Applying this construction to all (real) geodesics in $\mathbb{CH}^1$, we will deduce that $f : \mathbb{CH}^1 \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ extends to a *holomorphic* map $F : \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1} \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ such that $f(z) = F(z, z)$ for $z \in \Delta \cong \mathbb{CH}^1$. Using that f is totally geodesic, we will show that F is *essentially* proper and hence, by Sullivan's rigidity theorem, we will conclude that either $F(z, w) = F(z, z)$ or $F(z, w) = F(w, w)$, for all $(z, w) \in \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$. □



We start with some preliminary constructions.

The totally real diagonal. Let $\overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$ be the complex hyperbolic line with its conjugate complex structure. The identity map is a *canonical* anti-holomorphic isomorphism $\mathbb{CH}^1 \cong \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$ and its graph is a totally real embedding $\delta : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$, given by $\delta(z) = (z, z)$ for $z \in \Delta \cong \mathbb{CH}^1$. We call $\delta(\mathbb{CH}^1)$ the *totally real diagonal*.

Geodesics and graphs of reflections. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the set of all real, unoriented, complete geodesics $\gamma \subset \mathbb{CH}^1$. In order to describe their *maximal* holomorphic extensions $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$, such that $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \delta(\mathbb{CH}^1) = \delta(\gamma)$, it is convenient to parametrize $\mathcal{G}$ in terms of the set $\mathcal{R}$ of hyperbolic reflections of $\mathbb{CH}^1$ - or equivalently, the set of anti-holomorphic involutions of $\mathbb{CH}^1$. The map that associates a reflection $r \in \mathcal{R}$ with the set $\gamma = \mathrm{Fix}(r) \subset \mathbb{CH}^1$ of its fixed points gives a bijection between $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and denote its graph by $\Gamma_r \subset \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$; there is a natural holomorphic isomorphism $\mathbb{CH}^1 \cong \Gamma_r$, given by $z \mapsto (z, r(z))$ for $z \in \Delta \cong \mathbb{CH}^1$. We note that Γ_r is

the *maximal* holomorphic extension $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the geodesic $\gamma = \mathrm{Fix}(r)$ to the bi-disk and it is *uniquely* determined by the property $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \delta(\mathbb{CH}^1) = \delta(\gamma)$.

The foliation by graphs of reflections. The union of the graphs of reflections $\bigcup_{r\in\mathcal{R}} \Gamma_r$ gives rise to a (singular) foliation of $\mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$ with holomorphic leaves Γ_r parametrized by the set $\mathcal{R}$. We have $\Gamma_r \cap \delta(\mathbb{CH}^1) = \delta(\mathrm{Fix}(r))$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$, and

$$\Gamma_r \cap \Gamma_s = \delta(\mathrm{Fix}(r) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(s)) \tag{6.1}$$

which is either empty or a single point for all $r, s \in \mathcal{R}$ with $r \neq s$. In particular, the foliation is smooth in the complement of the totally real diagonal $\delta(\mathbb{CH}^1)$.

We emphasize that the following simple observation plays a key role in the proof of the theorem. For all $r \in \mathcal{R}$:

$$(z, w) \in \Gamma_r \iff (w, z) \in \Gamma_r \tag{6.2}$$

Geodesics and the Klein model. The Klein model gives a real-analytic identification $\mathbb{CH}^1 \cong \mathbb{RH}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with an open disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$. It has the nice property that the hyperbolic geodesics are affine straight lines intersecting the disk. [Rat]

Remark. The holomorphic foliation by graphs of reflections defines a *canonical* complex structure in a neighborhood of the zero section of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{RH}^2$.

The description of geodesics in the Klein model is convenient in the light of the following theorem of S. Bernstein.

Theorem 6.2. *(*[AhRo]*; S. Bernstein) Let M be a complex manifold, $f : [0,1]^2 \to M$ a map from the square $[0,1]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ into M and $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ an ellipse with foci at $0, 1$. If there are holomorphic maps $F_\ell : E \to M$ such that $F_\ell|_{[0,1]} = f|_\ell$, for all vertical and horizontal slices $\ell \cong [0,1]$ of $[0,1]^2$, then f has a unique holomorphic extension in a neighborhood of $[0,1]^2$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$.*

We use this to prove:

Lemma 6.3. *Every totally geodesic isometry $f : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ admits a unique holomorphic extension in a neighborhood of the totally real diagonal $\delta(\mathbb{CH}^1) \subset \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$.*

Proof of 6.3. Using the fact that analyticity is a local property and the description of geodesics in the Klein model of $\mathbb{RH}^2$, we can assume - without loss of generality - that the map f is defined in a neighborhood of the unit square $[0,1]^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and has the property that its restriction on every horizontal and vertical line segment $\ell \cong [0,1]$ is a real-analytic parametrization of a Teichmüller geodesic segment. Moreover, we can also assume that the lengths of all these segments, measured in the Teichmüller metric, are uniformly bounded from above and from below away from zero.

Since every segment of a Teichmüller geodesic extends to a (holomorphic) Teichmüller disk in $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, there exists an ellipse $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ with foci at 0,1 such that the restrictions $f|_\ell$ extend to holomorphic maps $F_\ell : E \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ for all horizontal and vertical line segments $\ell \cong [0,1]$ of $[0,1]^2$. Hence, the proof of the lemma follows from Theorem 6.2. □

Proof of Theorem 6.1.
Let $f : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ be a totally geodesic isometry. Applying Lemma 6.3, we deduce that f has a *unique* holomorphic extension in a neighborhood of the totally real diagonal $\delta(\mathbb{CH}^1) \subset \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$. We will show that f extends to a holomorphic map from $\mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$ to $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$.

We start by defining a *new* map $F : \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1} \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, satisfying:

1. $F(z, z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \Delta \cong \mathbb{CH}^1$.
2. $F|_{\Gamma_r}$ is the *unique* holomorphic extension of $f|_{\mathrm{Fix}(r)}$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$.

Let $r \in \mathcal{R}$ be a reflection. There is a *unique* (holomorphic) Teichmüller disk $\phi_r : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ such that the intersection $\phi_r(\mathbb{CH}^1) \cap f(\mathbb{CH}^1) \subset \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ contains the Teichmüller geodesic $f(\mathrm{Fix}(r))$ and $\phi_r(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \mathrm{Fix}(r)$.

We define F by $F(z, r(z)) = \phi_r(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{CH}^1$ and $r \in \mathcal{R}$; equation (6.1) shows that F is well-defined and satisfies conditions (1) and (2) above.

We *claim* that $F : \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1} \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is the *unique* holomorphic extension of $f : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ such that $F(z, z) = f(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{CH}^1$.

Proof of claim. We note that the restriction of F on the totally real diagonal $\delta(\mathbb{CH}^1)$ agrees with f and that there is a *unique* germ of holomorphic maps near $\delta(\mathbb{CH}^1)$ whose restriction on $\delta(\mathbb{CH}^1)$ coincides with f. Let us fix an element of this germ $\tilde{F}$ defined on a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$ of $\delta(\mathbb{CH}^1)$. For every $r \in \mathcal{R}$, the restrictions of F and $\tilde{F}$ on the intersection $U_r = U \cap \Gamma_r$ are holomorphic and equal along the real-analytic arc $U_r \cap \delta(\mathbb{CH}^1) \subset U_r$; hence they are equal on U_r. Since $\mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \Gamma_r$, we conclude that $F|_U = \tilde{F}$ and, in particular, F is holomorphic near the totally real diagonal $\delta(\mathbb{CH}^1)$. Since, in addition to that, F is holomorphic along all the leaves Γ_r of the foliation, we deduce [4] that it is holomorphic at all points of $\mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$. □

In order to finish the proof of the theorem, we use the *key* observation (6.2); which we recall as follows: the points (z, w) and (w, z) are always contained in the same leaf Γ_r of the foliation for all $z, w \in \Delta \cong \mathbb{CH}^1$. Using the fact that the restriction of F on every leaf Γ_r is a Teichmüller disk, we conclude that $d_{\mathcal{T}_{g,n}}(F(z, w), F(w, z)) = d_{\mathbb{CH}^1}(z, w)$.

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, it follows that at least one of $F(\rho e^{i\theta}, 0)$ and $F(0, \rho e^{i\theta})$ diverges in Teichmüller space as $\rho \to 1$. In particular, there is a subset $I \subset \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ with positive measure such that either $F(\rho e^{i\theta}, 0)$ or $F(0, \rho e^{i\theta})$ diverges as $\rho \to 1$ for all $\theta \in I$.

We assume first that the former of the two is true. Using that $F : \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1} \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is holomorphic, we deduce from [Tan] (Sullivan's rigidity theorem) that the family $\{F(z, \overline{w})\}_{w \in \Delta}$ of holomorphic maps $F(\cdot, \overline{w}) : \Delta \cong \mathbb{CH}^1 \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ for $w \in \Delta \cong \mathbb{CH}^1$ is *trivial*. Therefore, $F(z, 0) = F(z, z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \Delta$ and, in particular, f is holomorphic. If we assume that the latter of the two is true we similarly deduce that $F(0, z) = F(z, z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \Delta$ and, in particular, f is anti-holomorphic. □

[4] For a simple proof of this claim using the power series expansion of F at $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{CH}^1 \times \overline{\mathbb{CH}^1}$, see [Hör, Lemma 2.2.11].

7. Extremal length geometry

In this section we prove:

Theorem 7.1. *There is no holomorphic isometry $f : \mathbb{CH}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ for the Kobayashi metric.*

The proof of the theorem uses the idea of *realification* and leverages the fact that extremal length provides a link between the geometry of Teichmüller geodesics and the geometric intersection pairing for measured foliations.

Outline of the proof. Using a theorem of Slodkowski [Sl], [EKK], we deduce that such an isometry would be totally-geodesic - it would send real geodesics in $\mathbb{CH}^2$ to Teichmüller geodesics in $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ preserving their length. We can parametrize the set of Teichmüller geodesic rays from any base point $X \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, using Theorem 5.1, by the subspace of measured foliations $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$ with extremal length $\lambda(\mathcal{F}, X) = 1$.

Assuming the existence of f, we consider pairs of measured foliations that parametrize orthogonal geodesic rays in the image of a *totally real* geodesic hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{RH}^2 \subset \mathbb{CH}^2$. We obtain a contradiction by computing their geometric intersection number in two different ways.



On the one hand, we use the geometry of complex hyperbolic horocycles and extremal length to show that the geometric intersection number does not depend on the choice of the totally real geodesic plane. On the other hand, by a direct geometric argument we show that this is impossible. More precisely, we have:

Proposition 7.2. *Let $q \in Q_1\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$. There exist $v_1, \dots, v_N \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $i(\mathcal{F}(e^{i\theta}q), \mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |Re(e^{i\theta/2}v_i)|$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.*

The proof of the proposition is given at the end of the section. □

We start with preliminaries on compex hyperbolic and extremal length horocycles.

Complex hyperbolic horocycles. Let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{CH}^2$ be a geodesic ray with unit speed. Since $\mathbb{CH}^2$ is a homogeneous space, we have $\gamma = \alpha \circ \gamma_1$, where $\gamma_1(t) = (\tanh(t), 0)$, for $t \geq 0$, and α is a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{CH}^2$. Each geodesic ray is contained in the image of unique holomorphic totally-geodesic isometry $\gamma : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CH}^2$ satisfying $\gamma(t) = \phi(\tanh(t))$; in particular, $\phi_1(z) = (z, 0)$, for $z \in \Delta \cong \mathbb{CH}^1$. We note that every complex geodesic $\phi : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CH}^2$ arises uniquely (up to pre-composition with an automorphism of $\mathbb{CH}^1$) as the intersection of the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with a complex affine line.

Associated to each geodesic ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{CH}^2$ is a pair of transverse foliations of $\mathbb{CH}^2$, one by real geodesics asymptotic to γ and another by complex hyperbolic horocycles asymptotic to γ. For each $p \in \mathbb{CH}^2$ there exists a *unique* geodesic $\gamma_p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{CH}^2$ and a *unique* time $t_p \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma_p(t_p) = p$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} d_{\mathbb{CH}^2}(\gamma(t), \gamma_p(t)) \to 0$. For each $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define the set $H(\gamma, s) = \{\ p \in \mathbb{CH}^2 \mid \exp(t_p) = s\ \}$. The collection of subsets $\{H(\gamma, s)\}_{s\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ defines the foliation of $\mathbb{CH}^2$ by *complex hyperbolic horocycles* asymptotic to γ.

Extremal length horocycles. Let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ be a Teichmüller geodesic ray with unit speed. It has a unique lift to $\widetilde{\gamma}(t) = (X_t, q_t) \in Q_1\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, such that $\gamma(t) = X_t$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}(t) = \mathrm{diag}(e^t, e^{-t}) \cdot (X_0, q_0)$. The map $q \mapsto (\mathcal{F}(q), \mathcal{F}(-q))$ gives an embedding $Q\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{MF}_{g,n} \times \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$ which satisfies $||q||_1 = i(\mathcal{F}(q), \mathcal{F}(-q))$ and sends the lift $\widetilde{\gamma}(t) = (X_t, q_t)$ of Teichmüller geodesic ray γ to a path of the form $(e^t\mathcal{F}(q), e^{-t}\mathcal{F}(-q))$.

The later description of a Teichmüller geodesic and Theorem 5.1 show that the extremal length of $\mathcal{F}(q_t)$ along γ satisfies $\lambda(\mathcal{F}(q_t), X_s) = e^{2(t-s)}$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, which motivates the following definition. For each $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$ the *extremal length horocycles* asymptotic to $\mathcal{F}$ are the level-sets of extremal length $H(\mathcal{F}, s) = \{\ X \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \mid \lambda(\mathcal{F}, X) = s\ \}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The collection of subsets $\{H(\mathcal{F}, s)\}_{s\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ defines the foliation of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ by *extremal length horocycles* asymptotic to $\mathcal{F}$.

There is transverse foliation of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ by real Teichmüller geodesics with lifts (X_t, q_t) that satisfy $\mathcal{F}(q_t) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \mathcal{F}$. One might expect that this foliation of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is analogous to the foliation of $\mathbb{CH}^2$ by geodesics that are positively asymptotic to γ. Although this is not always true, it is true for *generic* measured foliations $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$.

Theorem 7.3. *(*[Mas]*; H. Masur) Let (X_t, q_t) and (Y_t, p_t) be two Teichmüller geodesics and $\mathcal{F}(q_0) \in \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$ be uniquely ergodic.* [5] *Then $lim_{t\to\infty} d_{\mathcal{T}_{g,n}}(X_t, Y_t) \to 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}(q_0) = \mathcal{F}(p_0)$ in $\mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$ and $\lambda(\mathcal{F}(q_0), X_0) = \lambda(\mathcal{F}(p_0), Y_0)$.*

Remark. It is known that this result is not true for measured foliations that are not uniquely ergodic.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let $f : \mathbb{CH}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ be a holomorphic isometry for the Kobayashi metric. We summarize the proof in the following three steps:

1. *Asymptotic behavior of geodesics determines the extremal length horocycles.*
2. *The geometry of horocycles determines the geometric intersection pairing.*
3. *Get a contradiction by a direct computation of the geometric intersection pairing.*

Step 1. Let $X = f((0, 0)) \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and $q, p \in Q_1(X)$ unit area quadratic differentials generating the two Teichmüller geodesic rays $f(\gamma_1)$,$f(\gamma_2)$, where γ_1,γ_2 are two orthogonal geodesic rays in $\mathbb{CH}^2$ contained in the image of the totally real geodesic hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{RH}^2 \subset \mathbb{CH}^2$; explicitly, they are given by the formulas $\gamma_1(t) = (\tanh(t), 0)$, $\gamma_2(t) = (0, \tanh(t))$, for $t \geq 0$.

[5] A measured foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is *uniquely ergodic* if it is minimal and admits a unique, up to scaling, transverse measure; in particular, $i(\gamma, \mathcal{F}) > 0$ for all simple closed curves γ. Compare with [Mas].

For every $(X, q) \in Q_1\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ there is a dense set of $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ such that the measured foliation $\mathcal{F}(e^{i\theta}q)$ is uniquely ergodic [CCM]; hence, we can assume without loss of generality (up to a holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{CH}^2$) that both $\mathcal{F}(q)$ and $\mathcal{F}(p)$ are (minimal) uniquely ergodic measured foliations. In particular, we can apply Theorem 7.3 to study the extremal length horocycles asymptotic to $\mathcal{F}(q)$ and $\mathcal{F}(p)$ respectively.

The complex hyperbolic horocycle $H(\gamma_1, 1)$ is characterized by the property that for the points $P \in H(\gamma_1, 1)$ the geodesic distance between $\gamma_P(t)$ and $\gamma_1(t)$ tends to zero as $t \to +\infty$, where $\gamma_P(t)$ is the unique geodesic with unit speed through P that is positively asymptotic to γ_1. Applying Theorem 7.3 we conclude that:

$$f(\mathbb{CH}^2) \cap H(\mathcal{F}(q), 1) = f(H(\gamma_1, 1)) \tag{7.1}$$

$$f(\mathbb{CH}^2) \cap H(\mathcal{F}(p), 1) = f(H(\gamma_2, 1)) \tag{7.2}$$

Step 2. Let δ be the (unique) complete real geodesic in $\mathbb{CH}^2$, which is asymptotic to γ_1 in the positive direction and to γ_2 in the negative direction, i.e. its two endpoints are $(1, 0), (0, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ in the boundary of the unit ball. Let P_1 and P_2 be the two points where δ intersects the horocycles $H(\gamma_1, 1)$ and $H(\gamma_2, 1)$, respectively. See 2.

The image of δ under the map f is a Teichmüller geodesic which is parametrized by a pair of measured foliations $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$ with $i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = 1$ and its unique lift to $Q_1\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is given by $(e^t\mathcal{F}, e^{-t}\mathcal{G})$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\widetilde{P}_i = (e^{t_i}\mathcal{F}, e^{-t_i}\mathcal{G})$, for $i = 1, 2$, denote the lifts of P_1, P_2 along the geodesic δ. Then, the distance between the two points is given by $d_{\mathbb{CH}^2}(P_1, P_2) = t_2 - t_1$. From Step 1, we conclude that $e^{t_1}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(q)$ (7.1) and $e^{-t_2}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}(p)$ ((7.2). Therefore we have $i(\mathcal{F}(q), \mathcal{F}(p)) = e^{t_1 - t_2}$.



FIGURE 2. The real slice of $\mathbb{CH}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ coincides with the Klein model $\mathbb{RH}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of the real hyperbolic plane of constant curvature -1.

Remark. A simple calculation shows that $t_2 - t_1 = \log(2)$; hence, $i(\mathcal{F}(q), \mathcal{F}(p)) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Step 3. The holomorphic automorphism given by $\phi(z, w) = (e^{-i\theta}z, w)$, for $(z, w) \in \mathbb{CH}^2$, is an isometry of $\mathbb{CH}^2$ and sends the two horocycles $H(\gamma_i, 1)$ to the horocycles $H(\phi(\gamma_i), 1)$, for $i = 1, 2$. The Teichmüller geodesic ray $f(\phi(\gamma_1))$ is now generated by $e^{i\theta}q$, whereas the Teichmüller geodesic ray $f(\phi(\gamma_2))$ is still generated by $p \in Q(X)$. Since the distance between P_1 and P_2 is equal to the distance between $\phi(P_1)$ and $\phi(P_2)$, using Step 2 and the continuity of the geometric intersection pairing we conclude that $i(\mathcal{F}(e^{i\theta}q), \mathcal{G}) = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. However, this contradicts the following Proposition 7.2. □

Proposition 7.2. *Let $q \in Q_1\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$. There exist $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $i(\mathcal{F}(e^{i\theta}q), \mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |Re(e^{i\theta/2}v_i)|$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof of Proposition 7.2. Let $q \in Q(X)$ be a unit area quadratic differential. We assume first that q has no poles and that $\mathcal{G}$ is an isotopy class of simple closed curves. The metric given by $|q|$ is flat with conical singularities of negative curvature at its set of zeros and hence the isotopy class of simple closed curves $\mathcal{G}$ has a unique geodesic representative, which is a finite union of saddle connections of q. In particular, we can readily compute $i(\mathcal{F}(e^{i\theta}q), \mathcal{G})$ by integrating $|\mathrm{Re}(\sqrt{e^{i\theta}q})|$ along the union of these saddle connections. It follows that:

$$i(\mathcal{F}(e^{i\theta}q), \mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\mathrm{Re}(e^{i\theta/2}v_i)| \quad \text{for all} \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \tag{7.3}$$

where N denotes the number of the saddle connections and $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{N} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ are their associated holonomy vectors.

We note that when q has simple poles, there need not be a geodesic representative in $\mathcal{G}$ anymore. Nevertheless, equation (7.3) is still true by applying the argument to a sequence of length minimizing representatives.

Finally, we observe that the number of saddle connections N is bounded from above by a constant that depends only on the topology of the surface. Combining this observation with the fact that any $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{MF}_{g,n}$ is a limit of simple closed curves and that the geometric intersection pairing $i(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{MF}_{g,n} \times \mathcal{MF}_{g,n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, we conclude that equation (7.3) is true in general. □

8. Final remarks

We conclude this note with a few open questions and further results.

Questions.

1. Is Theorem 4.1 true for $f : \mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ a (real) C^1-smooth *local* isometry?

2. Is there a *round* complex two-dimensional linear slice in $T_X\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$?

3. Is there a holomorphic isometric immersion $f : (\mathcal{M}, g) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ from a Hermitian manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M} \geq 2$?

4. Is there a holomorphic retraction $\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \xrightarrow{g} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{CH}^1$ onto every Teichmüller disk $\mathbb{CH}^1 \xhookrightarrow{f} \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ such that $g \circ f = id_{\mathbb{CH}^1}$? Equivalently, does the Caratheodory metric equal to the Kobayashi metric for every complex direction of $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$?

Further results.

The following two theorems suggest that the answers to questions 2 & 3 are *no*.

Theorem 8.1. *There is no complex linear isometry* $P : (\mathbb{C}^2, ||\cdot||_2) \hookrightarrow (Q(X), ||\cdot||_1)$.

Remark. This result is used in the proof of Theorem 4.5. See [SMA2] for a proof.

As an application of Theorem 4.3, we prove:

Theorem 8.2. *Let* $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ *be a complete Kähler manifold with* $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M} \geq 2$ *and holomorphic sectional curvature at least* -4. *There is no holomorphic map* $f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ *such that* df *is an isometry on tangent spaces.*

Proof. The monotonicity of holomorphic sectional curvature under holomorphic maps and the existence of (totally geodesic) holomorphic isometries $\mathbb{CH}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ through every complex direction imply that $\mathcal{M}$ has constant holomorphic curvature -4. [Roy] Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a complete Kähler manifold, we have $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{CH}^N$, which is impossible when $N \geq 2$ by Theorem 4.3. □

We also mention the following immediate corollaries of Theorem 8.1 and Theorem 8.2, respectively.

Corollary 8.3. *Let* $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ *be a Hermitian manifold with* $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M} \geq 2$. *There is no holomorphic isometric submersion* $g : \mathcal{T}_{g,n} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Corollary 8.4. *There is no holomorphic, totally geodesic isometry from a Kähler manifold* $\mathcal{M}$ *into a Teichmüller space* $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$, *so long as* $\mathcal{M}$ *has dimension two or more.*

For partial results and references towards question 4, see [Kra2], [Mc2] and [FM].

References

[AhRo] N. I. Ahiezer and L. I. Ronkin. Separately analytic functions of several variables, and "edge of the wedge" theorems. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **28**(1973), 27–42.

[SMA1] S. M. Antonakoudis. Isometric disks are holomorphic. *Preprint.*

[SMA2] S. M. Antonakoudis. Royden's theorem and birational geometry. *Preprint.*

[SMA3] S. M. Antonakoudis. Teichmueller spaces and bounded symmetric domains do not mix isometrically. *Preprint.*

[Bers] L. Bers. Finite dimensional Teichmüller spaces and generalizations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5**(1981), 131–172.

[Bon] F. Bonahon. The geometry of Teichmüller space via geodesic currents. *Invent. math.* **92**(1988), 139–162.

[CCM] J. Chaika, Y. Cheung, and H. Masur. Winning games for bounded geodesics in Teichmüller discs. *Preprint, 2011.*

[EKK] C. J. Earle, I. Kra, and S. L. Krushkal. Holomorphic motions and Teichmüller spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **343**(1994), 927–948.

[FLP] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach, and V. Poénaru. *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*. Astérisque, vol. 66–67, 1979.

[FM] A. Fletcher and V. Markovic. Infinite dimensional Teichmüller spaces. In A. Papadopoulos, editor, *Handbook of Teichmüller Theory*, volume II, pages 65–92. Eur. Math. Soc., 2009.

[GL] F. P. Gardiner and N. Lakic. *Quasiconformal Teichmüller Theory*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2000.

[Hel] S. Helgason. *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*. Academic Press, 1978.

[Hör] Lars Hörmander. *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, volume 7 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, third edition, 1990.

[HM] J. Hubbard and H. Masur. Quadratic differentials and foliations. *Acta Math.* **142**(1979), 221–274.

[Hub] J. H. Hubbard. *Teichmüller Theory, vol. I*. Matrix Editions, 2006.

[Ko] S. Kobayashi. *Hyperbolic Complex Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[Kra1] I. Kra. Canonical mappings between Teichmüller spaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4**(1981), 143–179.

[Kra2] I. Kra. The Carathéodory metric on abelian Teichmüller disks. *J. Analyse Math.* **40**(1981), 129–143.

[Mas] H. Masur. Uniquely ergodic quadratic differentials. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **55**(1980), 255–266.

[Mc1] C. McMullen. Billiards and Teichmüller curves on Hilbert modular surfaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**(2003), 857–885.

[Mc2] C. McMullen. Entropy on Riemann surfaces and Jacobians of finite covers. *Comment. Math. Helv., to appear*.

[Rat] J. G. Ratcliffe. *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, 1994.

[Roy] H. L. Royden. Automorphisms and isometries of Teichmüller space. In *Advances in the Theory of Riemann Surfaces*, pages 369–384. Princeton University Press, 1971.

[Sl] Z. Słodkowski. Holomorphic motions and polynomial hulls. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111**(1991), 347–355.

[Tan] H. Tanigawa. Holomorphic mappings into Teichmüller spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117**(1993), 71–78.

[V] W. Veech. Teichmüller curves in moduli space, Eisenstein series and an application to triangular billiards. *Invent. math.* **97**(1989), 553–583.